Civil Rights
Advisor Training
for the
Texas A&M University System

Rick Olshak, System Ethics and Compliance Office
August 2023

NOTICE:
This training material is provided for public review in accordance with federal law. The material may be utilized only for non-commercial educational and training purposes with the user assuming all risk for utilization of any content herein. Commercial utilization of this material is prohibited.
Civil Rights Advisor Training

System Regulation 08.01.01 and the Adjudicatory Process

**08.01.01 Civil Rights Compliance**

Revised July 7, 2020 (Effective August 14, 2020)
Next Scheduled Review: July 7, 2023
Click to view Revision History.

Regulation Summary

The Texas A&M University System (system) will provide equal opportunity to all employees, students, applicants for employment and admission, and the public. This regulation provides guidance to each member in complying with local, state and federal civil rights laws and regulations (laws) and related system policy.

Civil Rights Advisor Training

System Regulation 08.01.01

Section 4.2.9 – Types (“Pools”) of Cases

- **Title IX (4.2.10)**
- **Sex-based Misconduct (4.2.11)**
- **Other Civil Rights (4.2.12)**
System Regulation 08.01.01 – Major Changes by Section – Section 4

Section 4.2.9 – Types ("Pools") of Cases

Is it a Title IX complaint?

Mandatory Dismissals:
- Case would need meet definition of sexual harassment if proved
- Did not occur in member’s education program or activity
- Did not occur in the United States

Discretionary Dismissals:
- Complainant wishes to withdraw complaint (noted in writing)
- Respondent no longer enrolled/employed with member
- Member is unable to collect sufficient evidence
- Complainant’s identity is not known
- When same allegations have already been investigated and adjudicated
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System Regulation 08.01.01 – Section 4

Title IX – Sexual Harassment (4.2.10)
Unwelcome conduct on the basis of sex (of a sexual nature or otherwise): (1) by an employee of the member who conditions the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the member on an individual’s participation in that unwelcome sexual conduct; (2) determined by a reasonable person to be so severe and pervasive and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the member’s education program or activity; or (3) sexual assault or dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking based on sex.

Sex-Based Misconduct – Hostile Environment (4.2.11)
unwelcome conduct on the basis of sex that is severe, persistent, or pervasive enough to create a work, educational, or campus living environment that a reasonable person would consider intimidating, abusive, or offensive. Sex-based misconduct is explicitly prohibited under this regulation. Aiding another in the commission of sex-based misconduct is also prohibited under this regulation. Sex-based includes, but is not limited to, sexual assault, sexual exploitation, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking based on sex.

Student/Employee Conduct
Did it happen? If it happened, did it violate published student rules or professional expectations (by the letter of the expectation)?
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROLE IN (3.1.4.3)</th>
<th>SEX-/BASER/MICROCONDUCT (5.4.4.1)</th>
<th>OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS (3.1.4.3)</th>
<th>STUDENT CONDUCT / EMPLOYEE PROHIBITIONS (3.6.6.3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor/Mentor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Report/Complaint</td>
<td>Report/Complaint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard of Evidence</td>
<td>Preponderance</td>
<td>Preponderance</td>
<td>Preponderance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Resolution Allowed?</td>
<td>Yes – w/SCEO approval</td>
<td>Yes – w/SCEO approval</td>
<td>Yes – w/SCEO approval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of Investigative Authority</td>
<td>Collect and report inciden and evidence</td>
<td>Collect and report inciden and evidence</td>
<td>Collect and report inciden and evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjudication</td>
<td>Formal/Live Hearing</td>
<td>Formal/Live Hearing</td>
<td>Written Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjudicator</td>
<td>Hearing Officer or Hearing Panel</td>
<td>Designated Administrator</td>
<td>Refer to Member Rules and appropriate System Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirmed on Advisor?</td>
<td>Yes – provided by Member for formal hearing, if no advisor present</td>
<td>Yes – provided by member for formal hearing, if no advisor present</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role of Advisor</td>
<td>Cross-examination and Support</td>
<td>Cross-examination and Support</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For a Finding</td>
<td>Survey on Preventive or Objective Offense (5.4.2.0) or 9.2.3.0 if pre-empted</td>
<td>Survey on Preventive or Objective Offense (5.4.2.0) or 9.2.3.0 if pre-empted</td>
<td>Refer to Member Rules and appropriate System Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Refer to Member Rules and appropriate System Regulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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System Regulation 08.01.01

Resources for this program

Training Materials

Investigation Report Template
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System Regulation 08.01.01 and the Adjudicatory Process – Questions?

The Role of the Advisor
The Role of the Advisor

A. Process Advisors
   i. Help the party prepare for each meeting and advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith
   ii. Assist party with review/preparation of any prepared statements
   iii. Attend the pre-hearing conference
   iv. Preparing for formal live hearing
   v. Attend live hearing and conduct questioning of parties and witnesses
   vi. Assist the party in the development of any appeal

B. Hearing-only Advisors
   i. Help the party prepare for the live hearing and advise ethically, with integrity, and in good faith
   ii. Preparing for formal live hearing
   iii. Attend live hearing and conduct questioning of parties and witnesses

The Role of the Advisor

A. Preparing for a formal live hearing
   i. Discuss the investigative report with the party
      a) What do they see as their strengths in the report?
      b) What areas of vulnerability do they see in the report?
      c) What questions to they want to have you ask of the opposing party and of specific witnesses (including the investigator)?
      d) What questions do you believe your party would benefit from having asked?
      e) Discuss and outline questions prior to attending hearing
The Role of the Advisor

A. Preparing for a formal live hearing
   ii. Limitations of serving as advisor
      a) Remember the role of the advisor as outlined in the Advisor Acknowledgement form
      b) Tell the party you are advising that they cannot disclose to you any civil rights violations that they may have possibly committed; as a university/agency official you do not maintain privilege and you can be called as a witness during the process by the adjudicatory body
      c) Your only active involvement in the process is to ask questions of the opposing party and witnesses; you may not otherwise make statements or answer questions on behalf of the party
      d) You must respect all supportive measures in place, and may not interfere in the investigatory or adjudicatory process
      e) The party you are advising may seek your removal as advisor at any time in the process

B. At the formal live hearing
   i. Abide by the instructions and rulings of the Hearing Officer/Hearing Panel Chair at all times
   ii. Provide advisement without disrupting the proceedings (pass notes or whisper quietly)
   iii. Ask questions of the opposing party and witnesses by directing those questions to the Hearing Officer/Panel Chair and pausing to allow a ruling on admissibility; questions directed to the opposing party and witnesses must be relevant to the complaint and may not be repetitive
   iv. If a question or set of questions is ruled admissible, you may ask the Hearing Officer/Panel Chair for an opportunity to explain why you believe the information should be admissible, with the opposing party being given an opportunity to make an argument against admissibility
The Role of the Advisor

B. At the formal live hearing

v. Questions and evidence about the complainant’s sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless such questions and evidence about the complainant’s prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the alleged conduct, or if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.

vi. Hearing officers/hearing panels cannot draw an inference regarding responsibility based solely on a party’s or witness’s absence from the live hearing or refusal to answer cross-examination or other questions.
Effective Questioning

In the criminal justice system the questioning of opposing parties and witnesses (i.e., cross-examination) is been dedicated to getting the opposition to provide answers that do not support the opposing case. This is often accomplished by asking “yes” or “no” questions that limit the development of context for answers or providing questions framed in such a way that neither choice of answer is beneficial to the person answering the question. Leading questions are also employed both to imply an answer and to influence the person answering to provide feedback that aligns with the question asked. However, hearings in the higher education setting allow the person responding to a question to provide a full answer to an inquiry and to provide context for the answer. As a result, traditional cross-examination techniques have limited application.
Effective Questioning

Rather than to create an adversarial relationship with the opposing party and witnesses, advisors are encouraged to focus on the details of the complaint and of the investigative report. As a result, more effective questioning centers on the following lines of inquiry:

- Portions of the person’s statements that lack specificity
- Portions of the person’s statements that might appear substantively inconsistent or contradictory
- Determining if the potential bias of parties may have influenced their statements
- Testing whether or not parties or witnesses are generalizing or making assumptions based on incomplete information

Questions about Effective Questioning?
Evidence Collection: What goes in the report and exhibits?

REPORT will contain: ALL evidence relevant to the complaint (inculpatory and exculpatory)

EXHIBITS will contain: ALL EVIDENCE relevant to the complaint and ALL EVIDENCE directly related to the complaint that the investigator(s) determines is not relevant

NOTES will contain: ALL information collected, including evidence that is neither relevant nor directly related to the complaint – information deemed by investigators to be not related and not relevant will not be included on the Interview Summary form completed for each interview; notes are not shared with the parties and are typically destroyed when the final report has been created
When Parties Provide Feedback on Final Draft Report:

1. All evidence that is relevant to the complaint
   - Parties may make case to investigators/decision makers that this evidence should be shifted to Bucket 2 or 3.

2. Only evidence that is directly related to the complaint (but is determined by the investigator not to be relevant)
   - Parties may make case to investigators/decision makers that this evidence should be shifted to Bucket 1 or 3.

3. All evidence that is neither relevant nor directly related to the complaint
   - Evidence should be maintained by the investigator(s), but disregarded for purposes of the process. Parties/Advisors/Decision-makers don’t get to see or know about it.

Adapted from ATIXA

---

It’s time for everyone’s favorite game show...

Which Bucket Does the Evidence Go In?
Evidence Collection: What goes in the report and exhibits?

WHICH BUCKET?

A respondent in a sexual assault case provides photos taken on his phone to seek to establish that at the time of the alleged incident he was actually several blocks away drinking with some friends.

Which “bucket” does it go in?

BUCKET #1: The investigators determine that the evidence is relevant to the allegations in the complaint and if corroborated would tend to be exculpatory. The material is attached to the Interview Summary and included in the investigation report.

Evidence Collection: What goes in the report and exhibits?

WHICH BUCKET?

A complainant in a dating violence submits emails written by the respondent months before the alleged incidents that speak to the respondent’s family history, including information about a controlling and manipulative parent. In the email the respondent indicates a concern about becoming “like” that parent and tells the complainant that they are going to get counseling.

Which “bucket” does it go in?

BUCKET #2: In this case, the investigators decide that although the emails might relate to the allegations, as they spoke to behaviors similar to what the complainant alleges, the material is not directly related to the allegations as they do not make the allegations any more or less likely to be true. They are included with the Interview Summary form (which is an exhibit) but not referred to in the investigation report.
Evidence Collection: What goes in the report and exhibits?

WHICH BUCKET?

A witness in a stalking complaint details an interaction that they had with the respondent, in which the respondent acted in a manner that they describe as “creepy.” There is no direct connection to the incidents under investigation, nor are the behaviors described related to the behaviors described in the complaint.

Which “bucket” does it go in?

BUCKET #3: In this case, the investigators decide that the behavior is completely unrelated to the complaint and that its inclusion would only serve as unfairly prejudicial against the respondent. The information is recorded in the investigator’s notes, but not included in the Interview Summary (exhibit).

Privacy Considerations

- Advisors will be required to sign a statement at the time of their appointment indicating that they will not redisclose information shared with them by the System Member
- Advisors appointed to parties or selected by parties who are employed by a System Member are not providing legal counsel, and have no expectation of privilege; if called on to testify in the adjudicatory process they are expected to do so willingly and truthfully (this is unlikely unless cause has been provided)
- Advisors will cooperate fully and comply with directives from the System Member, the System Ethics and Compliance Office, and the Office of General Counsel
Records and Privacy – Questions?

… for your participation in today’s training program. We hope that the information provided today better equips you to fulfill your role as an advisor.

If you have questions or commentary, please contact me at rolshak@tamus.edu.